Г

# Nov-1-2017 (17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction.prt9) ARM 2 Holden Research and Consulting

17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction	
Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Trial ID: By: David Holden Project ID: Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:	
Trial Establishment Guidelines	
Project ID:       Developer: Holden/Whitener         Revision Number:       Revision Status:         Revision Date: Feb-24-2017       Issue Date: Feb-24-2017         Site Type:       Country:         Treated Plot Width:       Climate Zone:	
Treated Plot Area: Experimental Unit: Replications: Tillage Type:	
Study Design:	
Untreated Arrangement:	
Keywords:	
Number	
Trial ID Responsible of Trials Site Requirements	
Total Trials: 1	
Conduct Under GLP: No Study Rules: Conduct Under GEP: No Officially Recognized Organization:	
No. Guideline Description	
Objectives	
ODJectives:	
Crop Description	
Crop 1: LYPXP Lycopersicon es., transplanted Transplanted tomato	
Variety: tbd Description:	
BBCH Scale: BVSO	
Target Pest Description	
Pest 1 Type: Code: Common Name: Description:	
Artificial Population: Establishment Date: Establishment Rate, Unit: Concentration, Unit:	
Establishment Method/Description:	
Soil Description	
Additional Measured Elements	
Element Quantity Unit	

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Trial ID: Project ID:

Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 By: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

Application

Application Method:	
Application Timing:	
Application Placement:	
Appl. Equipment:	
Equipment Type:	
Operation Pressure, Unit:	
Nozzle Type:	_
Nozzle Size:	
Nozzle Spacing, Unit:	
Nozzles/Row:	
Band Width, Unit:	
% Coverage:	
Row Sides Applied:	
Boom Height, Unit:	
Ground Speed, Unit:	
Incorporation Equip.:	
Hours to Incorp.:	
Incorp. Depth, Unit	
Carrier:	
Water Hardness (ppm CaCO3):	
Spray Volume, Unit:	
Mix Size, Unit:	
Spray pH:	
Propellant:	
Tank Mix (Y/N):	_

Equipment Comment:

Application Directions: Pre-plant fertilizer. 75 lbs/ac N, remainder to go on in the tape

All applied in tape or under the tape for soil uptake.

	C.
	A
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	LYPXP BVSO
Stage Scale Used:	
Stage Majority, Percent:	
Stage Minimum, Percent:	
Stage Maximum, Percent:	
Diameter, Unit:	
Height, Unit:	
Height Minimum, Maximum:	
Plant Foliage Height, Unit:	
Crop coverage (%):	

Crop Stage At Each Application

noradin Robotal di a donicaling									
17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction									
Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Trial ID: By: David Holden Project ID: Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:									
	Pest Stage At Each Application								
Pest 1 Code, Disc., Scale:									
Stage Majority, Percent:									
Stage Minimum, Percent:									
Stage Maximum, Percent:									
Diameter, Unit:									
Height, Unit:									
Height Minimum, Maximum:									
Density, Unit:									
Coverage, Unit:									
Geographic Area/Environmental Consid Ventura county	lerations:								
Cropping Considerations: Tomato									
Data to Collect:									
1. Pre-plant soil, and harvest.									
2. Tissue analysis at late season									
3. SPAD/Vigor Analysis in season									
4. Final yield analysis based on production per sampled plants.									
Statistical Analysis: Appropriate for test									
Summarize and Submit Study By (Date): Oct-31-2017									
	General Comments								
General Comments:									

100 lbs/ac 1 tbd

2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen Twin N

# Holden Research and Consulting

B= 30 days later in drip

				ιαιού ι		mato for Mitroge	511
Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Trial ID: Project ID:		Stud Sponso	Location: By: ly Director: or Contact:	Camar David   Doug \	illo, CA Trial Year: 201 Holden Vhitener	7	
Trt	Treatment	Other	Other	Appl	Appl	Comment	
INO.	Name	Rale	Rate Unit	Code	Description	I	
1	Grower Standard Nitrogen	150	lbs/ac		A=Second irrigation	Tape applied	

AB

## 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Holden Research and Consulting

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

General Trial Information
Study Director: Doug Whitener
Investigator: David Holden
Trial Reliability: GOOD
Initiation Date: May-5-2017
Completion Date: Aug-30-2017
Completion Date: Aug-30-2017
City: Somis
Country: USA United States
State/Prov.: California

Conducted Under GLP: No Conducted Under GEP: No

#### Conclusions: Methods and Materials:

This trial was set up as a Block Designed study of four replicates to evaluate the effects of the grower standard program compared to a reduced nitrogen input grower standard program with multiple applications of Twin N for the production of cannery type tomatoes (cv Dri-319). The application rates and timing can be found in the attached Application Rate, Description tables, and notes section found in this report. In season nutrients were applied and can also be found in the Notes section of this report with the description of the total rates of nitrogen applied to both treatments.

Data collection consisted of in season growth and vigor data collection along with end of season harvest data. Harvest data is based on the sampling of two plants per replicate. Production was quantified according to the size and quality of the tomatoes produced.

### **Results and Discussion:**

All data for this trial will be found in this report, along with the A&L Western Laboratories soils and leaf analysis reports.

In season height, vigor, and SPAD analysis of the developing tomato plants can be found in the data columns 1-6 with no significant differences noted between the two treatments. Vigor was based on a visual rating of the plants over time and rated on a 0-5 scale, 3 representing normal for the plants at that time, with a higher rating indicating healthier plants. SPAD

(http://www.johnmorris.com.au/files/product/attachments/18012 /160254\_manual\_instr.pdf) readings of relative petiole chlorophyll content were also taken in season.

Final average yields were taken by harvesting all tomatoes from two plant sub samples per replicate, grading as to marketable or not and by color (red and green). This data can be found in columns 7-18 and totaled for the period in columns 19-21 for all production. Unmarketable tomato yields will be found in columns 16-18, with no significant differences found between treatments based on weight and count. The average marketable yields were extrapolated to tons per acre and can be found in column 23. No significant differences in yield were noted between the treatments with the highest yields coming out of treatment 2, the Twin N treatments with 33% less nitrogen applied . The extrapolated yields are based on an average for California cannery tomato production of approximately 49 tons per acre for the 2014 season

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID: Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

(https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics\_by\_State/California/Publications/Vegetables/2016/201601pto m.pdf). Data from columns 13 and 19 were used to come up with a ratio of utilization by weight of the marketable fruit to total fruit by weight percent utilization found in column 22. Based on this no significant differences in the utilization ratio was found.

Pre plant soil analysis is reported in A&L report 17-096-020 for the site on which the trial occurred. Of note in this report, there very little residual nitrogen in the soil, with high and ample amounts of phosphorus and potassium. The end of season soil report 17-250-131 (sample ID's TNTM1 and 2 for treatments 1 and 2) showed end of season comparative nutrient values with the exception that the Twin N (ID TNTM2) treatments showed significantly lower remaining soil nitrate nitrogen when compared to the grower standard, along with a lower soluble salts reading (less remaining nitrogen). Finally a close to harvest leaf sample was taken and is reported in report 17-228-063. This report shows adequate nutrient levels for all necessary elements, with slightly elevated nitrogen relative to the grower standard for the Twin N treatment, even though less nitrogen overall was applied.

At no time during the course of this trial were any adverse or phytotoxic effects observed to this crop.

All data rated as significant was done so utilizing the Least Significant Difference analysis at a 90% confidence level.

### **Conclusion:**

It should be noted that there were no significantly different data found in any of the analysis performed, but that numerically better yields were realized on 33% less nitrogen inputs for this season. This is but one years tomato crop cycle data, but the data does seem to indicate improved nitrogen use when utilized in conjunction with Twin N.

	Contacts	
Study Director: Doug Whitener		
Investigator: David Holden		
Organization: Holden Research and Consulting		
	Cooperator/Landowner	
Organization: Holden Research	-	
	Crop Description	
Crop 1: LYPXP Lycopersicon es., transplanted	Transplanted tomato	
Variety: Dri319 BBCH So	cale: BVSO	
-	Planting Date: May-5-2017	
	Site and Design	
Treated Plot Width: 3.33 FT		
Treated Plot Length: 25 FT		
Treated Plot Area: 83.25 FT2 Treatments: 2		
Replications: 4	Study Design: RACOBL Randomized Complete Block (RCB)	

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID: Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

	A	В
Application Date:	May-16-2017	Jun-20-2017
Application Method:	IRRDRE	IRRDRE
Application Placement:	SOIL	SOIL
Applied By:	Holden	Holden
Air Temperature, Unit:	62 F	74 F
% Relative Humidity:	70	40
Wind Velocity, Unit:	2 mph	2 mph
Wind Direction:	w	w
Dew Presence (Y/N):	N no	N no
% Cloud Cover:	0	0
Next Moisture Occurred On:	May-16-2017	Jun-14-2017

Crop Stage At Each Application						
	Α	В				
Crop 1 Code, BBCH Scale:	LYPXP BVSO	LYPXP BVSO				

	Α	В
Appl. Equipment:	ackpac 2	Hypro Pump
Operation Pressure, Unit: 3	0 T-Jet	40 psi
Nozzle Type: ⊢	lollow Co	
Nozzle Size: 8	004	
Incorp. Depth, Unit 0		
Carrier: V	VATER	WATER
Spray Volume, Unit: 2	5 GAL/AC	0 0
Mix Size. Unit: 1	Gallons	2 Gallons

1 No adverse effects from any of the treatments

#### Date By Notes

Jun-2-2017 Holden Jun-24-2017 Holden Jun-24-2017 Holden Jun-28-2017 Holden Jul-12-2017 Holden Jul-12-2017 Holden Jul-22017 Holden

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Trt	Treatment	Other	Other	Appl	Appl	Comment
No.	Name	Rate	Rate Unit	Code	Description	1
1	Grower Standard Nitrogen	150	lbs/ac		A=Second irrigation	Tape applied
2	G.S. Reduced Nitrogen	100	lbs/ac		B= 30 days later in drip	
	Twin N	1	tbd	AB		

# 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

Product quantities required for listed treatments and applications of trials included in this table:

Amount\* Unit Treatment Name Form Conc Form Type Lot Code

\* 'Per area' calculations based on spray volume= 100 GAL/AC, mix size= 1 gallons (mix size basis).
 \* Adjusted for multiple applications in treatment list.

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Pest Type Pest Code							
Pest Scientific Name							
Pest Name							
Cron Code							
BBCH Scale			BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO
Cron Scientific Name			l vconersicon e>	l vconersicon e>	L vconersicon e>	L vconersicon e>	Lyconersicon e>
Crop Name			Transplanted t>	Transplanted t>	Transplanted t>	Transplanted t>	Transplanted t>
Crop Variety				Transplanted P	Transplanted P	Transplanted to	Transplanted to
Description			Spad	Vigor	height	Spad	Vigor
Part Rated			opuu	vigo:	noight	opuu	vigoi
Rating Date			Jun-6-2017	Jun-6-2017	Jun-6-2017	Jul-6-2017	Jul-6-2017
Rating Type			CONDUC	VIGOR	HEIGHT	CONDUC	VIGOR
Rating Unit			SPAD	0-5	IN	SPAD	0-5
Sample Size, Unit			0.7.2			0.7.12	•••
Collection Basis, Unit							
Number of Subsamples			1	4	4	1	4
Assessed By							
SE Name							
Rating Timing							
Days After First/Last Applic.			21 21	21 21	21 21	51 16	51 16
Trt-Eval Interval			28 DA-A	28 DA-A	28 DA-A	28 DA-A	28 DA-A
Plant-Eval Interval			32 DP-1	32 DP-1	32 DP-1	62 DP-1	62 DP-1
Days After Emergence							
ARM Action Codes							
Sort Order for View							
Number of Decimals							
Trt Treatment O	Other Other	laaA					
No. Name R	Rate Rate Ur	nit Code	1	2	3	4	5
1 Grower Standard Nitrogen	150 lbs/ac		33.80	2.5	12.5	48.60	5.0
Č Č			32.10	2.8	11.5	49.70	5.0
			34.60	3.8	13.8	48.30	5.0
			28.30	3.3	12.8	50.30	5.0
		Mean =	32.20	3.1	12.6	49.23	5.0
2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen	100 lbs/ac		31.80	4.0	13.8	49.70	5.0
Twin N	1 tbd	AB	35.40	3.0	12.5	46.70	5.0
			32.60	3.5	13.8	54.80	5.0
			29.70	3.5	12.5	52.10	5.0
		Mean =	32.38	3.5	13.1	50.83	5.0

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID: Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP Crop Code BVSO BBCH Scale BVSO **BVSO** BVSO BVSO Crop Scientific Name Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Transplanted t> Crop Name Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Crop Variety Description Spad red red red green FRUMAR C FRUMAR C FRUMAR C FRUMAR C Part Rated Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Jul-26-2017 Aug-30-2017 Rating Date WEIFRE WEIFRE WEIFRE Rating Type CONDUC YIELD Rating Unit SPAD LB NUMBER LB LB PLANT FRUIT PLANT Sample Size, Unit 2 2 PLANT 2 1 Collection Basis, Unit Number of Subsamples 2 2 2 2 1 Assessed By SE Name Rating Timing Days After First/Last Applic. 71 36 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 Trt-Eval Interval 28 DA-A 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G Plant-Eval Interval 82 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 Days After Emergence ARM Action Codes T1 Sort Order for View Number of Decimals 1 1 2 1 Trt Treatment Other Other Appl 7 No. Name Rate Rate Unit Code 6 8 9 10 1 Grower Standard Nitrogen 150 lbs/ac 61.70 18.4 100.0 0.18 11.4 109.5 64.20 19.3 0.18 2.3 63.10 10.1 53.5 0.19 8.2 58.40 91.5 7.4 16.9 0.18 Mean = 61.85 16.2 88.6 0.18 7.3 2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen 100 lbs/ac 61.20 25.0 124.0 0.20 11.6 1 tbd 63.00 18.7 95.5 0.20 7.8 Twin N AB 59.30 10.4 52.0 0.20 4.8 58.70 18.9 103.0 0.18 3.2 Mean = 60.55 0.20 6.8 18.3 93.6

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID: Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP Crop Code BVSO BBCH Scale BVSO BVSO BVSO BVSO Crop Scientific Name Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Crop Name Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Crop Variety Description all marketable all marketable all marketable green green FRUMAR C Aug-30-2017 FRUMĂR C Part Rated FRUMAR C FRUMAR C FRUMAR C Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Rating Date WEIFRE WEIFRE WEIFRE Rating Type YIELD YIELD Rating Unit NUMBER LB LB NUMBER LB Sample Size, Unit 2 PLANT FRUIT 2 PLANT 2 PLANT 1 FRUIT 1 Collection Basis, Unit Number of Subsamples 2 2 2 2 2 Assessed By SE Name Rating Timing Days After First/Last Applic. 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 Trt-Eval Interval 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G Plant-Eval Interval 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 Days After Emergence ARM Action Codes Τ5 Τ2 ΤЗ Τ4 Sort Order for View Number of Decimals 1 2 1 1 2 Trt Treatment Other Other Appl No. Name Rate Rate Unit Code 11 12 13 14 15 1 Grower Standard Nitrogen 150 lbs/ac 75.0 0.15 29.8 175.0 0.17 14.0 0.16 21.5 123.5 0.17 77.5 0.09 18.3 131.0 0.14 0.16 56.0 0.13 24.4 147.5 Mean = 55.6 0.13 23.5 144.3 0.16 2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen 100 lbs/ac 76.0 0.15 36.6 200.0 0.18 1 tbd 59.5 0.13 26.4 155.0 0.17 Twin N AB 26.0 0.19 15.1 78.0 0.19 27.0 0.12 22.1 130.0 0.17 Mean = 47.1 0.15 25.1 140.8 0.18

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID: Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP Crop Code BVSO BBCH Scale BVSO BVSO BVSO BVSO Crop Scientific Name Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Transplanted t> Crop Name Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Crop Variety Description unmarketable unmarketable unmarketable total producti> total producti> FRUTOT C Part Rated FRUUNM C FRUUNM C FRUUNM C FRUTOT C Aug-30-2017 Rating Date Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 YIELD WEIFRE Rating Type WEIFRE WEIFRE YIELD Rating Unit LB NUMBER LB LB NUMBER PLANT FRUIT Sample Size, Unit 2 2 2 PLANT 2 PLANT PLANT 1 Collection Basis, Unit Number of Subsamples 2 2 2 2 2 Assessed By SE Name Rating Timing Days After First/Last Applic. 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 Trt-Eval Interval 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G Plant-Eval Interval 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 Days After Emergence ARM Action Codes Т8 Τ6 Τ7 Sort Order for View Number of Decimals 1 1 2 1 1 Trt Treatment Other Other Appl No. Name Rate Rate Unit Code 16 17 18 19 20 1 Grower Standard Nitrogen 150 lbs/ac 0.6 6.0 0.05 30.4 181.0 1.8 19.0 0.09 23.3 142.5 0.1 1.5 0.04 18.4 132.5 0.1 0.06 24.5 148.5 1.0 Mean = 0.7 6.9 0.06 24.2 151.1 2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen 100 lbs/ac 2.1 10.0 0.22 38.7 210.0 1 tbd 0.1 0.06 26.5 155.5 Twin N AB 0.5 0.3 2.0 0.27 15.4 80.0 0.2 3.0 0.04 22.3 133.0 0.7 Mean = 3.9 0.15 25.7 144.6

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Pest Type					
Pest Code					
Pest Scientific Name					
Pest Name					
BBCH Scale			BVSO	BVSO	BVSO
			Lycopersicon e>	Lycopersicon e>	Lycopersicon e>
			I ransplanted t>	I ransplanted t>	I ransplanted t>
Crop variety			total anaduatis	Litilization	markatabla
Description					marketable
Part Rated					FRUIUI C
Rating Date			Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017
Rating Type			WEIFRE	RATIO	WEIFRE
Rating Unit				%	1-05
Collection Region Unit					I A
Collection Basis, Unit					2
Number of Subsamples			2	2	2
Assessed by					
SE Ndille Doting Timing					
Dave After First/Last Applie			09 56	09 56	00 56
Trt Eval Interval			21 DA C	21 DA C	21 DA C
Plant Eval Interval				12/ 04-0	124 DR 1
Dave After Emergence			124 DF-1	124 DF-1	124 DF-1
APM Action Codes			то	T10	т11
Sort Order for View			19	110	
Number of Decimals			2	1	1
	<u> </u>	• •	2	1	1
Irt Treatment	Other Other	Appl			
No. Name	Rate Rate U	nit Code	21	22	23
1 Grower Standard Nitrogen	150 lbs/ac		0.16	95.5	60.8
			0.16	91.5	43.9
			0.14	99.4	37.4
			0.16	99.4	49.7
		Mean =	0.16	96.4	47.9
2 G S Reduced Nitrogen	100 lbs/ac		0.18	94.4	74.6
Twin N	1 tbd	AB	0.17	99.8	53.9
		=	0.19	97.7	30.9
			0.17	98.9	45.1
		Mean =	0.18	97.7	51.1
			5.10	57.1	5

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name							
Cron Code					ΙΥΡΧΡ	ΙΥΡΧΡ	
BBCH Scale			BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO
Crop Scientific Name			Lycopersicon e>				
Crop Name			Transplanted t>				
Crop Variety							•
Description			Spad	Vigor	height	Spad	Vigor
Part Rated				_	-	-	_
Rating Date			Jun-6-2017	Jun-6-2017	Jun-6-2017	Jul-6-2017	Jul-6-2017
Rating Type			CONDUC	VIGOR	HEIGHT	CONDUC	VIGOR
Rating Unit			SPAD	0-5	IN	SPAD	0-5
Sample Size, Unit							
Collection Basis, Unit							
Number of Subsamples			1	4	4	1	4
Assessed By							
Deting Timing							
Dave After First/Last Applic			21 21	21 21	21 21	51 16	51 16
Trt-Eval Interval			28 DA-A				
Plant-Eval Interval			32 DP-1	32 DP-1	32 DP-1	62 DP-1	62 DP-1
Days After Emergence			02 01 1	02.01.1	02 01 1	02.01.1	02 01 1
ARM Action Codes							
Sort Order for View							
Number of Decimals							
Trt Treatment	Other Other	Annl					
No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Code	1	2	3	4	5
1 Grower Standard Nitrogen	150 lbs/ac		32.20 a	3.1 a	12.6 a	49.23 a	5.0 a
2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen	100 lbs/ac		32.38 a	3.5 a	13.1 a	50.83 a	5.0 a
Twin N	1 tbd	AB					
LSD P=.05			4.182	1.19	1.17	6.193	0.00
Standard Deviation			1.859	0.53	0.52	2.753	0.00
CV			5.76	16.09	4.04	5.5	0.0
Grand Mean			32.288	3.28	12.88	50.025	5.00
Bartlett's X2			0.082	0.255	0.168	3.78	0.0
P(Bartlett's X2)			0.775	0.613	0.682	0.052	•

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Pest Type							
Pest Code							
Pest Scientific Name							
Crop Code							
BBCH Scale			BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO
Cron Scientific Name							
Crop Name			Transplanted to				
Crop Variety							
Description			Snad	red	red	red	areen
Part Rated			Opdu	FRUMAR C	FRUMAR C	FRUMAR C	FRUMAR C
Rating Date			Jul-26-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017
Rating Type			CONDUC	WEIERE	YIFI D	WEIERE	WEIERE
Rating Unit			SPAD	IB	NUMBER	IB	IB
Sample Size, Unit				2 PLANT	2 PLANT	1 FRUIT	2 PLANT
Collection Basis. Unit							
Number of Subsamples			1	2	2	2	2
Assessed By							
SE Name							
Rating Timing							
Days After First/Last Applic.			71 36	98 56	98 56	98 56	98 56
Trt-Eval Interval			28 DA-A	21 DA-G	21 DA-G	21 DA-G	21 DA-G
Plant-Eval Interval			82 DP-1	124 DP-1	124 DP-1	124 DP-1	124 DP-1
Days After Emergence							
ARM Action Codes						T1	
Sort Order for View							
Number of Decimals				1	1	2	1
Trt Treatment	Other Other A	lqq					
No. Name	Rate Rate Unit C	Code	6	7	8	9	10
1 Grower Standard Nitrogen	150 lbs/ac		61.85 a	16.2 a	88.6 a	0.18 a	7.3 a
2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen	100 lbs/ac		60.55 a	18.3 a	93.6 a	0.20 a	6.8 a
Twin N	1 tbd A	٨B					
LSD P=.05			2.825	5.07	26.09	0.017	7.07
Standard Deviation			1.256	2.25	11.59	0.008	3.14
CV			2.05	13.08	12.72	3.98	44.46
Grand Mean			61.200	17.22	91.13	0.190	7.06
Bartlett's X2			0.178	0.369	0.12	0.002	0.002
P(Bartlett's X2)			0.673	0.544	0.729	0.961	0.967

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID: Location: Camarillo, CA Trial Year: 2017 Investigator: David Holden Study Director: Doug Whitener Sponsor Contact:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name Pest Name Crop Code LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP LYPXP BBCH Scale **BVSO BVSO BVSO** BVSO BVSO Crop Scientific Name Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Lycopersicon e> Ťransplanted t> Crop Name Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Transplanted t> Crop Variety Description all marketable all marketable all marketable green green FRUMAR C FRUMAR C Part Rated FRUMAR C FRUMAR C FRUMAR C Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Aug-30-2017 Rating Date WEIFRE Rating Type YIELD WEIFRE WEIFRE YIELD Rating Unit NUMBER LB LB NUMBER LB PLANT FRUIT Sample Size, Unit 2 FRUIT 2 2 PLANT PLANT 1 1 Collection Basis, Unit Number of Subsamples 2 2 2 2 2 Assessed By SE Name Rating Timing Days After First/Last Applic. 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 98 56 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21 DA-G Trt-Eval Interval Plant-Eval Interval 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 Days After Emergence Τ2 ARM Action Codes Т3 Τ4 Τ5 Sort Order for View Number of Decimals 2 2 1 1 1 Trt Treatment Other Other Appl No. Name Rate Rate Unit Code 11 12 13 14 15 1 Grower Standard Nitrogen 150 lbs/ac 55.6 a 0.13 a 23.5 a 144.3 a 0.16 a 2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen 100 lbs/ac 140.8 a 47.1 a 0.15 a 25.1 a 0.18 a Twin N 1 tbd AB LSD P=.05 0.090 7.98 0.038 66.72 62.86 Standard Deviation 29.65 0.040 3.54 27.94 0.017 19.61 CV 57.72 28.25 14.6 9.79 Grand Mean 24.28 0.171 51.38 0.141 142.50 Bartlett's X2 0.08 0.0 0.968 1.617 0.149 0.985 P(Bartlett's X2) 0.777 0.325 0.203 0.699

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Pest Type Pest Code Pest Scientific Name							
Crop Code				ΙΥΡΧΡ		ΙΥΡΧΡ	
BBCH Scale			BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO	BVSO
Crop Scientific Name			Lycopersicon e>				
Crop Name			Transplanted t>				
Crop Variety					•		
Description			unmarketable	unmarketable	unmarketable	total producti>	total producti>
Part Rated			FRUUNM C	FRUUNM C	FRUUNM C	FRUTOT C	FRUTOT C
Rating Date			Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017	Aug-30-2017
Rating Type			WEIFRE	YIELD	WEIFRE	WEIFRE	YIELD
Rating Unit			LB	NUMBER	LB	LB	NUMBER
Sample Size, Unit			2 PLANI	2 PLANI	1 FRUIT	2 PLANI	2 PLANI
Collection Basis, Unit							
Number of Subsamples			2	2	2	2	2
SE Name							
Dating Timing							
Days After First/Last Applic			98 56	98 56	98 56	98 56	98 56
Trt-Eval Interval			21 DA-G				
Plant-Eval Interval			124 DP-1				
Days After Emergence							
ARM Action Codes					T6	T7	Т8
Sort Order for View							
Number of Decimals			1	1	2	1	1
Trt Treatment	Other Other	Appl					
No. Name	Rate Rate Unit	Code	16	17	18	19	20
1 Grower Standard Nitrogen	150 lbs/ac		0.7 a	6.9 a	0.06 a	24.2 a	151.1 a
2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen	100 lbs/ac		0.7 a	3.9 a	0.15 a	25.7 a	144.6 a
Twin N	1 tbd	AB					
LSD P=.05			2.16	16.60	0.214	8.36	56.90
Standard Deviation			0.96	7.38	0.095	3.72	25.29
CV			143.93	137.24	91.21	14.89	17.1
Grand Mean			0.67	5.38	0.104	24.95	147.88
Bartlett's X2			0.104	1.224	5.347	1.219	2.165
P(Bartlett's X2)			0.747	0.269	0.021*	0.27	0.141

#### 17twinNtomato01 Utilizing Twin N in Tomato for Nitrogen reduction

Trial ID: 17twinNtomato01 Protocol ID: 17twinNtomato01 Project ID:

Pest Scientific Name Pest Name Crop Code BBCH Scale Crop Scientific Name Crop Name Crop Name Crop Variety Description Part Rated Par
Pest Name     LYPXP     LYPXP     LYPXP       Crop Code     LYPXP     LYPXP     LY       BBCH Scale     BVSO     BVSO     E       Crop Scientific Name     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>       Crop Name     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>       Crop Variety     total producti>     Utilization     market       Part Rated     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C
Crop Code     LYPXP     LYPXP     LYPXP       BBCH Scale     BVSO     BVSO     E       Crop Scientific Name     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>       Crop Name     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>       Crop Variety     total producti>     Utilization     market       Part Rated     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C
BBCH Scale     BVSO     BVSO     E       Crop Scientific Name     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>       Crop Name     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>       Description     total producti>     Utilization     market       Part Rated     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C
Crop Scientific Name     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>     Lycopersicon e>       Crop Name     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>       Crop Variety     Description     total producti>     Utilization     market       Part Rated     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C
Crop Name     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>     Transplanted t>       Crop Variety     Description     total producti>     Utilization     market       Part Rated     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C     FRUTOT C
Crop Variety     Transplance to Transpla
Description total producti> Utilization market Part Rated FRUTOT C
Part Rated FRUTOT C FRUTOT C FRUTOT C FRUT
Kating Date   Aug-30-2017   Au
Rating Type WEIFRE RATIO WE
Rating Unit LB %
Sample Size, Unit 1 FRUIT 1
Collection Basis, Unit
Number of Subsamples   2   2
Assessed By
SE Name
Rating Timing
Days After First/Last Applic. 98 56 98 56 98
Irt-Eval Interval 21 DA-G 21 DA-G 21
Plant-Eval Interval 124 DP-1 124 DP-1 124
Days After Emergence
ARM Action Codes 19 110
Number of Decimals 2 1
Trt Treatment Other Other Appl
No. Name Rate Rate Unit Code 21 22 23
1 Grower Standard Nitrogen 150 lbs/ac 0.16 a 96.4 a 4
2 G.S. Reduced Nitrogen 100 lbs/ac 0.18 a 97.7 a 5
Twin N 1 tbd AB
LSD P=.05 0.035 7.50
Standard Deviation 0.016 3.33
CV 9.21 3.43
Grand Mean 0.169 97.07
Bartlett's X2 0.009 0.593
P(Bartlett's X2) 0.924 0.441